School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2010-11 School Year Published During 2011-12

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

I. Data and Access

EdData Partnership Web Site

EdData is a partnership of the CDE, EdSource, and the Fiscal Crisis Management and Assistance Team (FCMAT) that provides extensive financial, demographic, and performance information about California's public kindergarten through grade twelve school districts and schools.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest webpage at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., state Academic Performance Index [API], federal Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible. Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

II. About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2011-12)

	School	District		
School Name	Anaheim High School	District Name	Anaheim Union High School District	
Street	811 West Lincoln Ave.	Phone Number	714-999-3502	
City, State, Zip	Anaheim, CA 92805-2402	Web Site	AUHSD.k12.ca.us	
Phone Number	714-999-3717	Superintendent	Elizabeth Novack, Ph.D.	
Principal	Ben Sanchez	E-mail Address	Novack_e@auhsd.us	
E-mail Address	Sanchez_b@auhsd.us	CDS Code	30664313030228	

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2010-11)

This section provides information about the school, its programs and its goals.

The mission of Anaheim High School, in preparing students to participate in a changing, global society, is to promote student excellence through self-discipline, self-esteem, and academic achievement. Our students will exhibit these qualities in the classroom, in co-curricular activities and in our community.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2010-11)

This section provides information on how parents can become involved in school activities, including contact information pertaining to organized opportunities for parent involvement.

Anaheim High School provides several opportunities for parents to get involved including:

- 1. PTSA/Comite de los Padres
- 2. School Site Council
- 3. Parent Institute For Quality Education
- 4. Title 1 Parent Advisory
- 5. Band Boosters
- 6. Dance Boosters
- 7. Athletic Boosters

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2010-11)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 9	890
Grade 10	967
Grade 11	910
Grade 12	696
Total Enrollment	3,463

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2010-11)

Group	Group Percent of Group Total Enrollment		Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	1.3	White	2.9
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.3	Two or More Races	0.4
Asian	1	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	80.3
Filipino	0.9	English Learners	65
Hispanic or Latino	92.7	Students with Disabilities	7.9
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0.4		

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

		200	8-09		2009-10			2010-11				
Subject			er of Classrooms		Avg.			Avg.	Numbe	er of Clas	srooms	
	Class Size	1-22	23-32	23-32 33+ Class Size 1-22 23-32 33+	33+	Class Size 1-2	1-22	23-32	33+			
English	25.1	74	45	30	27.7	18	65	28	32	13	79	62
Mathematics	27	22	81	15	26.1	30	69	14	34	15	65	73
Science	35.2	2	10	60	32.4	4	31	46	33.3	3	58	53
Social Science	30.3	3	44	22	32	3	28	36	36.6	5	37	50

^{*} Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

III. School Climate

School Safety Plan (School Year 2010-11)

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan, including the dates on which the safety plan was last reviewed, updated, and discussed with faculty; as well as a brief description of the key elements of the plan.

School Safety Plans are reviewed and adapted on an annual basis at a workshop conducted by district staff. The School Safety Plan for the school year is discussed with site staff members in the beginning of the Fall Semester. The Safety Plan was last updated in fall of 2011.

Suspensions and Expulsions

Dete		School		District			
Rate	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	
Suspensions	26.11	19.24	0.09	17.11	12.3	9.96	
Expulsions	1.22	0.99	9.5	1.08	0.97	0.60	

^{*} The rate of suspensions and expulsions is calculated by dividing the total number of incidents by the total enrollment (and multiplying by 100).

IV. School Facilities

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2011-12)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Description of the safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of the school facility
- · Description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements
- · The year and month in which the data were collected
- · Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair

Year and month in which data were collected: September 2011

Anaheim High School, established in 1898 is the oldest of nine comprehensive high schools in the Anaheim Union High School District. The current facilities were built in 1935. The 37.2 acre site was modernized in 1994-95. At present there are 122 regular classrooms. There are 27 labs which are designed for specific programs (i.e. computer labs, science labs, choral music room, etc.) The site also includes Cook Auditorium, a cafeteria, a library, a gym and pool facility, and a variety of sports fields.

The most recent site inspection was completed on September 6, 2011.

School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2011-12)
This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The Overall Rating (bottom row)

Occident Insurante d	Repair Status				Repair Needed and	
System Inspected	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	Action Taken or Planned	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	[]	[X]	[]	[]		
Interior: Interior Surfaces	[]	[]	[]	[X]	Several stained, loose, broken and missing ceiling tiles in various rooms. Patching and painting needed in various areas. Missing baseboards in Rooms 2 and 25. Room 113 has two dripping faucets and one nonworking faucet. Paint is peeling on back wall in Girls' Locker Room. Touch up paint needed at cabinets in Health Office. Paint is peeling in hallway leading into Building 2. Roof hatch door is missing in Room 17. Room 65 has a nonworking faucet. Entire rooms needs painted and water damage down walls at windows in Rooms 63 and 64.	
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	[]	[X]	[]	[]		
Electrical: Electrical	[]	[X]	[]	[]		
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	[]	[X]	[]	[]	Water damaged wall in Girls' Gym Restroom.	
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	[]	[X]	[]	[]		
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	[]	[]	[X]	[]	Roof leak in Room 205. Swimming pool needs major re-construction. Stadium needs rehabilitation.	
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	[]	[]	[X]	[]	Several windows in various areas have graffiti. Two broken windows and one broken door in Room 70. Doors slam in several rooms. Several doors stick in various rooms. Door in Media Center leading outside is bent. Door in Auditorium downstairs needs repaired and painted. Several doors in various locations need paint. Door frame in Room 35 needs paint. Outside door in Room 37 is hard to close.	
Overall Rating	[]	[X]	[]	[]		

V. Teachers

Teacher Credentials

T		District		
Teachers	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2010-11
With Full Credential	132	132	158	1291.7
Without Full Credential	6	0	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	9	3	

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	1	2	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	9	2	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

^{* &}quot;Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2010-11)

The Federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), also known as No Child Left Behind (NCLB), requires that core academic subjects be taught by Highly Qualified Teachers, defined as having at least a bachelor's degree, an appropriate California teaching credential, and demonstrated core academic subject area competence. For more information, see the CDE *Improving Teacher and Principal Quality* webpage at: http://www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Taught by				
Location of Classes	NCLB Compliant Teachers	Non-NCLB Compliant Teachers			
This School	100	0			
All Schools in District	100	0			
High-Poverty Schools in District	100	0			
Low-Poverty Schools in District	100	0			

^{*} High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 25 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

group, etc.
"Vacant Teacher Positions" refer to positions not filled by a single designated teacher assigned to teach the entire course at the beginning of the school year or semester.

VI. Support Staff

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2010-11)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	10	477
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0	
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0.5	
Library Media Services Staff (paraprofessional)	1	
Psychologist	1	
Social Worker	0	
Nurse	0.16	
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1	
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	0	
Other	0	

^{*} One Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full-time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full-time.

VII. Curriculum and Instructional Materials

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2011-12)

This section describes whether the textbooks and instructional materials used at the school are from the most recent adoption; whether there are sufficient textbooks and instruction materials for each student; and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

Year and month in which data were collected:

This information was collected in October 2009.

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	English textbooks were adopted in 2002/03. There is one textbook available per student.	Yes	0
Mathematics	Mathematics textbooks were adopted in 2007-08. Course appropriate, standards-based textbooks were chosen for each mathematics course. There is one textbook available per student.	Yes	0
Science	Science textbooks were adopted in 2006-07. There is one textbook available per student. School staff will begin the selection process for new standards-based texts in 2006-07.	Yes	0
History-Social Science	History/Social science textbooks were adopted in 2005-06. There is one textbook available per student.	Yes	0
Foreign Language	Foreign language textbooks were adopted in 2003-04. There is one textbook available per student.	Yes	0
Health	Health textbooks were adopted in 2003-04. There is one textbook available per student.	Yes	0

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Science Laboratory Equipment (grades 9-12)	All science labs at Anaheim High School have the following equipment: tables with chemical-resistant tops, stools at lab tables, white boards/chalk boards for demonstrations, one complete set of glassware per classroom, linear measuring devices (meter sticks, 12"/6" rulers, etc.) course appropriate charts, hot plates, triple-beam and/or top-loading balances, thermometers, overhead projector, LCD projector, ELMO projector, VCR/DVD player, and laserdisc player. Most labs have additional equipment appropriate for the courses being taught in the room.	Yes	0

VIII. School Finances

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2009-10)

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Supplemental/ Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Basic/ Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$7,790	\$3,330	\$4,460	\$80,771
District			\$5,564	\$81,859
Percent Difference: School Site and District			-19.8	-1.3
State			\$5,455	\$70,570
Percent Difference: School Site and State			-18.2	14.5

^{*} Supplemental/Restricted expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or by a donor. Money that is designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted.

Basic/Unrestricted expenditures are from money whose use, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or by a donor.

For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the CDE Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data Web site at: http://www.ed-data.org.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2010-11)

This section provides specific information about the types of programs and services available at the school that support and assists students. For example, this narrative may include information about supplemental educational services related to the school's federal Program Improvement (PI) status.

Anahiem High School offers programs and supplemental services in the following areas:

- Math Tutourials Title 1
- 2. Reading/Accelerated Reader Title 1
- 3. CAHSEE Remediation Classes after school CAHSEE Grant
- 4. Tele Parent Communication Program Comprehensive School Reform
- 5. Cal State Fullerton Talent Search Grant from Cal State Fullerton
- 6. Plato Math Tutorial Program Title 1
- 7. UCLA School Management Program Consulting Agreement Comprehensive School Reform Grant
- 8. South Basing Writing Project Consulting Agreement with English Department Title 1 and Comprehensive School Reform Grant.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2009-10)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$47,665	\$42,954
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$86,735	\$69,905
Highest Teacher Salary	\$99,631	\$89,464
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$126,447	\$121,722
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$139,351	\$128,348
Superintendent Salary	\$237,300	\$205,119
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	40%	37%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	4%	5%

^{*} For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

IX. Student Performance

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including:

- California Standards Tests (CSTs), which include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science in grades eight, and nine through eleven.
- California Modified Assessment (CMA), an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards in ELA for
 grades three through eleven; mathematics for grades three through seven, Algebra I, and Geometry; and science in grades five
 and eight, and Life Science in grade ten. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from
 achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations.
- California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA), includes ELA and mathematics in grades two through eleven, and science
 for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent
 them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations.

The assessments under the STAR Program show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. On each of these assessments, student scores are reported as performance levels.

For detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, see the CDE STAR Results Web site at http://star.cde.ca.gov.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison

Subject		School		District			State		
Subject	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
English-Language Arts	31	36	38	44	48	49	49	52	54
Mathematics	13	14	21	28	31	35	46	48	50
Science	37	35	43	51	53	58	50	54	57
History-Social Science	41	41	49	43	46	49	41	44	48

^{*} Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results by Student Group - Most Recent Year

	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced					
Group	English- Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	History-Social Science		
All Students in the LEA	49	35	58	49		
All Student at the School	38	21	43	49		
Male	37	23	47	56		
Female	40	20	40	42		
Black or African American	38	22	0	48		
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0		
Asian	57	50	0	86		
Filipino	67	41	0	71		
Hispanic or Latino	37	20	42	48		
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	42	42	0	0		
White	51	24	58	58		
Two or More Races						
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	38	21	42	47		
English Learners	4	6	9	15		
Students with Disabilities	7	5	9	15		
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0	0		

^{*} Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California High School Exit Examination

The California High School Exit Examination (CAHSEE) is primarily used as a graduation requirement. However, the grade ten results of this exam are also used to establish the percentages of students at three proficiency levels (not proficient, proficient, or advanced) in ELA and mathematics to compute AYP designations required by the federal ESEA, also known as NCLB.

For detailed information regarding CAHSEE results, see the CDE CAHSEE Web site at http://cahsee.cde.ca.gov/.

California High School Exit Examination Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison

Cubicat	School		District			State			
Subject	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
English-Language Arts	35	35	49	49	49	58	52	54	59
Mathematics	35	42	48	53	52	55	53	54	56

^{*} Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California High School Exit Examination Grade Ten Results by Student Group - Most Recent Year

Camorina riigii Gonoor Exit Examination Grado 10		English-Language Arts			Mathematics			
Group	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced		
All Students in the LEA	42	25	32	45	33	21		
All Students at the School	51	29	20	52	38	10		
Male	54	30	16	48	41	10		
Female	49	28	24	56	35	9		
Black or African American	0	0	0	0	0	0		
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Asian	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Filipino	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Hispanic or Latino	52	28	20	52	38	10		
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0	0		
White	55	23	23	45	36	18		
Two or More Races	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	52	30	19	53	38	9		
English Learners	88	10	2	81	17	2		
Students with Disabilities	98	2	0	96	4	0		
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0	0	0	0		

^{*} Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2010-11)

The California Physical Fitness Test (PFT) is administered to students in grades five, seven, and nine only. This table displays by grade level the percent of students meeting the fitness standards for the most recent testing period. For detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state, see the CDE PFT webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf/.

Grade	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards					
Level	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards			
9	17.5	24.7	27.1			

^{*} Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

X. Accountability

Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of state academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. For detailed information about the API, see the CDE API webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/.

Academic Performance Index Ranks - Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools' API ranks. The **statewide API rank** ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state.

The **similar schools API rank** reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

API Rank	2008	2009	2010
Statewide	4	4	3
Similar Schools	9	9	7

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group - Three-Year Comparison

Academic Performance index Growth by Stude	Actual API Change				
Group	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11		
All Students at the School	-7	1	34		
Black or African American					
American Indian or Alaska Native					
Asian					
Filipino					
Hispanic or Latino	-8	1	37		
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander					
White					
Two or More Races	N/D				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	-4	-3	39		
English Learners	-8	-8			
Students with Disabilities	12	30	-8		

^{* &}quot;N/D" means that no data were available to the CDE or LEA to report. "B" means the school did not have a valid API Base and there is no Growth or target information. "C" means the school had significant demographic changes and there is no Growth or target information.

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group - 2011 Growth API Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the number of students included in the API and the 2011 Growth API at the school, LEA, and state level.

	2011 Growth API						
Group	School		LEA		State		
	# of Students	Growth API	# of Students	Growth API	# of Students	Growth API	
All Students at the School	2,461	734	26,483	762	4,683,676	778	
Black or African American	34	672	830	735	317,856	696	
American Indian or Alaska Native	7		85	771	33,774	733	
Asian	27	848	3,319	914	398,869	898	
Filipino	24	820	1,126	865	123,245	859	
Hispanic or Latino	2,293	733	15,806	714	2,406,749	729	
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	11	768	254	759	26,953	764	
White	65	750	5,019	799	1,258,831	845	
Two or More Races	0		9		76,766	836	
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	2,082	731	17,241	723	2,731,843	726	
English Learners	282		2,532		1,521,844	707	
Students with Disabilities	193	474	2,500	501	521,815	595	

Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal ESEA requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

Detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, can be found at the CDE Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/.

Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2010-11)

AYP Criteria	School	District
Made AYP Overall	No	No
Met Participation Rate: English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Met Participation Rate: Mathematics	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts	No	No
Met Percent Proficient: Mathematics	No	No
Met API Criteria	Yes	Yes
Met Graduation Rate (if applicable)	Yes	Yes

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2011-12)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. For detailed information about PI identification, see the CDE PI Status Determinations webpage: http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/tidetermine.asp.

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	In PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement	2010-2011	2008-2009
Year in Program Improvement	Year 2	Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		12
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		57.1

XI. School Completion and Postsecondary Preparation

Admission Requirements for California's Public Universities

University of California

Admission requirements for the University of California (UC) follow guidelines set forth in the Master Plan, which requires that the top one-eighth of the state's high school graduates, as well as those transfer students who have successfully completed specified college course work, be eligible for admission to the UC. These requirements are designed to ensure that all eligible students are adequately prepared for University-level work.

For general admissions requirements, please visit the UC Admissions Information webpage at http://www.universityofcalifornia.edu/admissions/. (Outside source)

California State University

Eligibility for admission to the California State University (CSU) is determined by three factors:

- · Specific high school courses
- · Grades in specified courses and test scores
- · Graduation from high school

Some campuses have higher standards for particular majors or students who live outside the local campus area. Because of the number of students who apply, a few campuses have higher standards (supplementary admission criteria) for all applicants. Most CSU campuses have local admission guarantee policies for students who graduate or transfer from high schools and colleges that are historically served by a CSU campus in that region. For admission, application, and fee information see the CSU webpage at http://www.calstate.edu/admission/admission.shtml. (Outside source)

Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate

la dia sta v	School			District			State		
Indicator	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Dropout Rate (1-year)	1.1	5.7	2.9	2.1	5.3	2.1	4.9	5.7	4.6
Graduation Rate	96.0	74.83	87.42	90.3	84.57	88.64	80.21	78.59	80.44

^{*} The National Center for Education Statistics graduation rate as reported in AYP is provided in this table.

Completion of High School Graduation Requirements

This table displays, by student group, the percent of students who began the 2010-11 school year in grade twelve and were a part of the school's most recent graduating class, meeting all state and local graduation requirements for grade twelve completion, including

having passed both the ELA and mathematics portions of the CAHSEE or received a local waiver or state exemption.

	Graduating Class of 2011			
Group	School	District	State	
All Students	77.2	79.8	N/D	
Black or African American	87.5	91.0	N/D	
American Indian or Alaska Native	50.0	93.3	N/D	
Asian	100.0	98.3	N/D	
Filipino	100.0	95.4	N/D	
Hispanic or Latino	76.4	97.8	N/D	
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	100.0	91.0	N/D	
White	78.3	89.8	N/D	
Two or More Races			N/D	
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	82.1	86.2	N/D	
English Learners	56.7	58.4	N/D	
Students with Disabilities	62.5	59.5	N/D	

^{* &}quot;N/D" means that no data were available to the CDE or LEA to report.

Career Technical Education Programs (School Year 2010-11)

This section provides information about Career Technical Education (CTE) programs including:

- · Programs and classes offered that are specifically focused on career preparation and or preparation for work
- How these programs and classes are integrated with academic courses and how they support academic achievement
- How the school addresses the needs of all students in career preparation and/or preparation for work, including needs unique to defined special populations of students
- The measurable outcomes of these programs and classes, and how they are evaluated
- · State the primary representative of the district's CTE advisory committee and the industries represented on the committee

Anaheim High School has 12 career pathways that represent several industries that suit the needs, interests, and demands of its diverse student body. During the 2010-2011 school year courses were offered in the following career industries: Arts, Media & Entertainment; Building Trades & Construction; Education, Child Development, and Family Services; Engineering and Design; Finance & Business; Health Science & Medical Technology; Information Technology; Manufacturing & Product Develop, Public Services; and Transportation.

Career Technical Education Participation (School Year 2010-11)

Measure	CTE Program Participation
Number of pupils participating in CTE	1391
% of pupils completing a CTE program and earning a high school diploma	95
% of CTE courses sequenced/articulated between the school/institutions of postsecondary education	100

Courses for University of California and/or California State University Admission (School Year 2009-10)

UC/CSU Course Measure	Percent
Students Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	64.7
Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	29.1

Advanced Placement Courses (School Year 2010-11)

Subject	Number of AP Courses Offered	Percent of Students In AP Courses
Computer Science	0	
English	8	
Fine and Performing Arts	0	
Foreign Language	3	
Mathematics	1	
Science	2	
Social Science	6	
All courses	20	2.5

XII. Instructional Planning and Scheduling

Professional Development

This section provides information on the number of days provided for professional development and continuous professional growth in the most recent three year period. Questions that may be answered include:

- What are the primary/major areas of focus for staff development and specifically how were they selected? For example, were student achievement data used to determined the need for professional development in reading instruction?
- What are the methods by which professional development is delivered (e.g., after school workshops, conference attendance, individual mentoring, etc.)?
- How are teachers supported during implementation (e.g., through in-class coaching, teacher-principal meetings, student performance data reporting, etc.)?

Teachers participate in a variety of District in-services as well as professional development workshops and conferences to enhance their knowledge and instructional skills. The Peer Assistance Review (PAR) and Beginning Teacher Support and Assessment (BTSA) programs, district workshops, and professional conferences are opportunities for professional development. The District continues to train teachers in strategies to deliver a differentiated curriculum with depth and complexity. Teachers learn to utilize student assessment results in order to target instruction to better meet the individual needs of students. Classified staff members have many opportunities to participate in training designed to enhance their effectiveness with students. All district staff members are supported in their efforts to be considered highly qualified under NCLB. The Comprehensive School Grant has given teachers and administrators many opportunities to examine current instructional programs and strategies and realign with state curriculum performance and content standards. Research based instructional strategies are reviewed and implemented.