# Anaheim High School School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2012-13 School Year Published During 2013-14

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

### I. Data and Access

#### DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., state Academic Performance Index [API], federal Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

#### Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

#### Additional Information

For further information regarding the data elements and terms used in the SARC see the 2012–13 Academic Performance Index Reports Information Guide located on the CDE API Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/.

### **II. About This School**

#### **Contact Information (School Year 2013-14)**

School Contact Information				
School Name	Anaheim High School			
Street	811 West Lincoln Avenue			
City, State, Zip	Anaheim, CA 92805-2402			
Phone Number	(714) 999-3717			
Principal	Anna Corral, Ed.D			
E-mail Address	corral_a@auhsd.us			
CDS Code	30664313030228			

District Contact Information				
District Name	Anaheim Union High School District			
Phone Number	(714) 999-3511			
Web Site	www.auhsd.us			
Superintendent	Elizabeth I. Novack, Ph.D.			
E-mail Address	webmaster@auhsd.k12.ca.us			

#### School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2012-13)

This section provides information about the school, its programs and its goals.

Mission: We exist to educate our students and equip with them with knowledge and skills that empower them to be productive members of society in the 21st century. Vision: We strive to be a school that prepares students for many different paths through a dynamic, positive, relevant, and rigorous learning environment.

Colonist Capacities (School-wide Learning Outcomes)

- Critical Thinkers
- Creative/Innovative
- Committed to Personal Growth
- Civic Virtue/Community Minded
- Communicative/Collaborative

#### **Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2012-13)**

This section provides information on how parents can become involved in school activities, including contact information pertaining to organized opportunities for parent involvement.

Anaheim High School provides several opportunities for parents to get involved including:

- 1. PTSA
- 2. School Site Council
- 3. Disciplina Positiva
- 4. Title I Parent Advisory
- 5. Band Boosters
- 6. APAC Boosters
- 7. Athletic Boosters
- 8. Parent Nights

### **III. Student Performance**

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including:

- **California Standards Tests (CSTs)**, which include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science in grades eight, and nine through eleven.
- California Modified Assessment (CMA), an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards in ELA for grades three through eleven; mathematics for grades three through seven, Algebra I, and Geometry; and science in grades five and eight, and Life Science in grade ten. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations.
- California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA), includes ELA and mathematics in grades two through eleven, and science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations.

The assessments under the STAR Program show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. On each of these assessments, student scores are reported as performance levels.

For detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, see the CDE STAR Results Web site at http://star.cde.ca.gov.

#### Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison

	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)									
Subject		School		District				State		
	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	
English-Language Arts	38	42	43	49	54	52	54	56	55	
Mathematics	21	21	16	35	37	35	49	50	50	
Science	43	49	47	58	64	62	57	60	59	
History-Social Science	49	51	51	49	51	50	48	49	49	

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

#### Standardized Testing and Reporting Results by Student Group - Most Recent Year

	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced						
Group	English-Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	History-Social Science			
All Students in the LEA	52	35	62	50			
All Student at the School	43	16	46	51			
Male	44	18	52	59			
Female	42	14	42	43			
Black or African American	33	17		31			
American Indian or Alaska Native							
Asian	43	39		75			
Filipino	69	40		71			
Hispanic or Latino	42	15	46	51			
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander							
White	56	23	48	54			
Two or More Races	50	23					
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	42	16	48	50			
English Learners	8	6	14	18			
Students with Disabilities	15	12	14	7			
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services							

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

### California High School Exit Examination

The California High School Exit Examination (CAHSEE) is primarily used as a graduation requirement. However, the grade ten results of this exam are also used to establish the percentages of students at three proficiency levels (not proficient, proficient, or advanced) in ELA and mathematics to compute AYP designations required by the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), also known as No Child Left Behind (NCLB).

For detailed information regarding CAHSEE results, see the CDE CAHSEE Web site at http://cahsee.cde.ca.gov/.

#### California High School Exit Examination Results for All Grade Ten Students – Three-Year Comparison

_	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced								
Subject		School District State		District		State			
	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
English-Language Arts	49	42	47	58	53	55	59	56	57
Mathematics	48	49	46	55	58	57	56	58	60

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California High School	Exit Examination Gr	ade Ten Results by	/ Student Group – Most Re	ecent Year
------------------------	---------------------	--------------------	---------------------------	------------

	Engl	English-Language Arts			Mathematics		
Group	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced	
All Students in the LEA	45	22	33	43	34	23	
All Students at the School	53	25	22	54	33	13	
Male	59	22	19	51	33	16	
Female	47	28	25	57	32	10	
Black or African American							
American Indian or Alaska Native							
Asian							
Filipino							
Hispanic or Latino	54	25	22	54	33	13	
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander							
White	43	30	27	55	26	19	
Two or More Races							
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	53	25	22	53	35	13	
English Learners	91	8	1	85	13	2	
Students with Disabilities	95	4	2	93	7		
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services							

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

#### California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2012-13)

The California Physical Fitness Test (PFT) is administered to students in grades five, seven, and nine only. This table displays by grade level the percent of students meeting the fitness standards for the most recent testing period. For detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state, see the CDE PFT Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf/.

Grade	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards					
Level	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards			
9	21.7	24.1	18.3			

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

### **IV. Accountability**

#### Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of state academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. For detailed information about the API, see the CDE API Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/.

#### Academic Performance Index Ranks - Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools' API ranks. The statewide API rank ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state.

The **similar schools API rank** reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

API Rank	2010	2011	2012
Statewide	3	4	4
Similar Schools	7	8	8

#### Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group – Three-Year Comparison

	Actual API Change						
Group	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13				
All Students at the School	35	6	0				
Black or African American							
American Indian or Alaska Native							
Asian							
Filipino							
Hispanic or Latino	37	6	0				
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander							
White							
Two or More Races							
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	40	10	-1				
English Learners	33	3	-6				
Students with Disabilities	-8	36	-18				

Note: "N/D" means that no data were available to the CDE or LEA to report. "B" means the school did not have a valid API Base and there is no Growth or target information. "C" means the school had significant demographic changes and there is no Growth or target information.

#### Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group - 2013 Growth API Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the number of students included in the API and the 2013 Growth API at the school, LEA, and state level.

	2013 Growth API							
Group	Sch	ool	Dist	rict	State			
	# of Students	Growth API	# of Students	Growth API	# of Students	Growth API		
All Students at the School	2,300	740	25,373	777	4,655,989	790		
Black or African American	20	704	665	752	296,463	708		
American Indian or Alaska Native	9		132	759	30,394	743		
Asian	24	795	3,111	927	406,527	906		
Filipino	24	843	1,030	882	121,054	867		
Hispanic or Latino	2,143	738	16,371	734	2,438,951	744		
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	6		176	793	25,351	774		
White	61	766	3,035	819	1,200,127	853		
Two or More Races	13	751	853	804	125,025	824		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	2,086	739	18,299	746	2,774,640	743		
English Learners	1,375	673	10,907	685	1,482,316	721		
Students with Disabilities	163	489	2,547	554	527,476	615		

#### **Adequate Yearly Progress**

The federal ESEA requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

For detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, see the CDE AYP Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/.

#### Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2012-13)

AYP Criteria	School	District
Made AYP Overall	No	No
Met Participation Rate: English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Met Participation Rate: Mathematics	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts	No	No
Met Percent Proficient: Mathematics	No	No
Met API Criteria	No	Yes
Met Graduation Rate (if applicable)	Yes	No

#### Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2013-14)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. For detailed information about PI identification, see the CDE PI Status Determinations Web page: http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/tidetermine.asp.

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	In Pl	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement	2010-2011	2008-2009
Year in Program Improvement	Year 4	Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		13
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		92.9

### **V. School Climate**

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2012-13)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 9	860
Grade 10	823
Grade 11	815
Grade 12	734
Total Enrollment	3,232

#### Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2012-13)

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment	Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	1.0	White	2.7
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.3	Two or More Races	0.7
Asian	1.0	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	82.5
Filipino	1.1	English Learners	58.5
Hispanic or Latino	92.9	Students with Disabilities	6.9
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0.3		

#### Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

		201	0-11		2011-12			2012-13				
Subject	Avg.	Numb	Number of Classrooms		Avg. Number of Classrooms		Avg. Number of Classroo		srooms			
	Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+	Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+	Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+
English	32	13	79	62	28.3	17	119	14	20	69	96	
Mathematics	34	15	65	73	32.4	13	70	66	23	46	97	
Science	33.3	3	58	53	31.2	6	72	34	24	31	86	
Social Science	36.6	5	37	50	35	9	48	35	22	34	76	

Note: Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

#### School Safety Plan (School Year 2012-13)

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan, including the dates on which the safety plan was last reviewed, updated, and discussed with faculty; as well as a brief description of the key elements of the plan.

School Safety Plans are reviewed and adapted on an annual basis at a workshop conducted by district staff. The School Safety Plan for the school year is discussed with site staff members in the beginning of the Fall Semester. The Safety Plan was last updated in fall of 2012.

#### **Suspensions and Expulsions**

Dete		School		District		
Rate	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
Suspensions	9.64	3.24	6.34	9.85	4.55	
Expulsions	0.58	0.51	0.09	1.02	0.52	

Note: The rate of suspensions and expulsions is calculated by dividing the total number of incidents by the total enrollment x 100.

### **VI. School Facilities**

### School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2013-14)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Description of the safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of the school facility
- Description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair

Anaheim High School, established in 1898 is the oldest of nine comprehensive high schools in the Anaheim Union High School District. The current facilities were built in 1935. The 37.2 acre site was modernized in 1994-95. At present there are 122 regular classrooms. There are 27 labs, which are designed for specific programs (i.e. computer labs, science labs, choral music room, etc.) The site also includes Cook Auditorium, a cafeteria, a library, a gym and pool facility, and a variety of sports fields.

Maintenance and Repair: Site and District maintenance staff ensure that the repairs necessary to keep the school in good repair and working order are completed in a timely manner. A work order process is used to ensure efficient service. Emergency repairs are given the highest priority.

Cleaning Process and Schedule: The District's Board of Trustees has adopted cleaning standards for all schools. The administration works daily with the custodial staff to develop cleaning schedules to ensure a clean and safe school. All classrooms and restrooms are cleaned daily. Deep cleaning, including waxing of floors and painting, takes place during times when students are not in classes. Students, parents, and staff are encouraged to report any objectionable conditions via a uniform complaint procedure.

The most recent site inspection was completed on December 6, 2013.

#### School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2013-14)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- The Overall Rating

School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2013-14) Year and month in which data were collected: December 2013					
System Inspected	F	Repair State	us	Repair Needed and	
System inspected	Good Fair Poor		Poor	Action Taken or Planned	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	[]	[X]	[]	Boys' & Girls' Locker Rooms and Gym need ventilation. Loose HVAC vent in Room 32. Missing vent cover in Principal's Office.	
Interior: Interior Surfaces	[]	[X]	[]	Missing floor tiles behind serving line in Cafeteria. Shower head is leaking in Boys' Locker Room. Broken tile in Boys' Locker Room. Damaged cabinet doors in Room 20. Missing baseboard to east wall between office 10A and 10B.	
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	[X]	[]	[]		
<b>Electrical:</b> Electrical	[X]	[]	[]		
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	[X]	[]	[]	Missing several ceiling tiles in Boys' & Girls' Restrooms by Rooms 900-923 and in Boys' & Girls' Restrooms by Rooms 29-38.	
<b>Safety:</b> Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	[X]	[]	[]	Missing fire extinguisher case in Room 21. Missing ceiling tile frame in Room 18.	
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	[]	[X]	[]	Swimming Pool and outside Stadium are not useable. Paint is peeling at south window in Attendance Office. Repair holes outside Women's Faculty Restroom.	
<b>External:</b> Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	[X]	[]	[]		

#### **Overall Facility Rate**

	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
Overall Rating	[]	[]	[X]	[]

### **VII. Teachers**

**Teacher Credentials** 

Taaban		District		
Teachers	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2012-13
With Full Credential	158	165	157	157
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	3	0	0	

#### **Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions**

Indicator	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

\* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

#### Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2012-13)

The federal ESEA, also known as NCLB, requires that core academic subjects be taught by Highly Qualified Teachers, defined as having at least a bachelor's degree, an appropriate California teaching credential, and demonstrated core academic subject area competence. For more information, see the CDE Improving Teacher and Principal Quality Web page at www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/.

Leasting of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects				
Location of Classes	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
This School	100.0	0.0			
All Schools in District	99.8	0.2			
High-Poverty Schools in District	99.8	0.2			
Low-Poverty Schools in District	100.0	0.0			

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

### **VIII. Support Staff**

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2012-13)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	11	293
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0	
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0	
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	1	
Psychologist	1	
Social Worker	0	
Nurse	0.16	
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1	
Resource Specialist	0	
Other	0	

Note: One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

### **IX. Curriculum and Instructional Materials**

#### Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2013-14)

This section describes whether the textbooks and instructional materials used at the school are from the most recent adoption; whether there are sufficient textbooks and instruction materials for each student; and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

Year and month in which data were collected: July 2008

All content subject areas utilize standards-aligned, State and District adopted textbooks and instructional materials. Teachers have input into the textbook selection process at the district-level through an established curriculum cycle. Teachers are given indepth training on the instructional materials and the ancillary materials that accompany the basic textbook material. Ancillary materials support both the language needs and the learning needs of students.

This information was collected in November 2012.

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	English language arts (ELA) textbooks were adopted in 2002-03. There is one textbook available per student.	Yes	0
Mathematics	Mathematics textbooks were adopted in 2007-08. Course appropriate, standards-based textbooks were chosen for each mathematics course. There is one textbook available per student.	Yes	0
Science	Science textbooks were adopted in 2006-07. There is one textbook available per student. School staff will begin the selection process for new standards-based texts in 2006-07.	Yes	0
History-Social Science	History/Social science textbooks were adopted in 2005-06. There is one textbook available per student.	Yes	0
Foreign Language	Foreign language textbooks were adopted in 2003- 04. There is one textbook available per student.	Yes	0
Health	Health textbooks were adopted in 2003-04. There is one textbook available per student.	Yes	0
Visual and Performing Arts	Visual and performing arts students have access to course appropriate textbooks and instructional materials.	Yes	0
Science Laboratory Equipment (grades 9-12)	All science labs at Anaheim High School have the following equipment: tables with chemical-resistant tops, stools at lab tables, white boards/chalk boards for demonstrations, one complete set of glassware per classroom, linear measuring devices (meter sticks, 12"/6" rulers, etc.) course appropriate charts, hot plates, triple-beam and/or top-loading balances, thermometers, overhead projector, LCD projector, ELMO projector, VCR/DVD player, and laserdisc player. Most labs have additional equipment appropriate for the courses being taught in the room.	Yes	0

### **X. School Finances**

#### Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2011-12)

	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average
Level	Total	Supplemental/ Restricted	Basic/ Unrestricted	Teacher Salary
School Site	\$7,180	\$2,668	\$4,512	\$84,645
District			\$5,824	\$83,851
Percent Difference: School Site and District			-22.5	0.9
State			\$5,537	\$71,584
Percent Difference: School Site and State			-18.5	18.2

Supplemental/Restricted expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or by a donor. Money that is designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted.

Basic/Unrestricted expenditures are from money whose use, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or by a donor.

For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the CDE Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data Web site at: http://www.ed-data.org.

#### Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2012-13)

This section provides specific information about the types of programs and services available at the school that support and assist students. For example, this narrative may include information about supplemental educational services related to the school's federal Program Improvement (PI) status.

Anahiem High School offers programs and supplemental services in the following areas:

- 1. Math Tutorials–Title 1 funding
- 2. ELA Tutorial–Title 1 funding
- 3. Reading/Accelerated Reader–Title 1 funding
- 4. TeleParent Communication Program–District-level Title 1 and EIA-LEP funding
- 5. Cal State Fullerton Talent Search–Grant from Cal State Fullerton
- 6. GEAR UP Support Program–Grant from Cal State Fullerton
- 7. RTI Tier 2 and 3 after school interventions–EIA-LEP and Title 1 funding
- 8. Disciplina Positiva Parent Support–Title 1 and EIA-LEP funding
- 9. PBIS Postive Behavior Support Program–OCDE funding
- 10. Career Technical Education Pathways Perkins funding
- 11. Multimedia, Transportation and Arts academy programs–California Partnership Academy funding
- 1. 12.\*Qualifying Anaheim High School students also participate in Supplemental Educational Services (SES) through the Title I program.

\*SES is also referred to as free Title I after-school tutoring.

#### Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2011-12)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$47,407	\$42,865
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$86,266	\$69,484
Highest Teacher Salary	\$99,147	\$89,290
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$0	
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$123,886	\$119,946
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$136,711	\$128,378
Superintendent Salary	\$244,008	\$202,664
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	40.6%	36.8%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	4.0%	4.9%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

### XI. School Completion and Postsecondary Preparation

#### Admission Requirements for California's Public Universities

#### **University of California**

Admission requirements for the University of California (UC) follow guidelines set forth in the Master Plan, which requires that the top one-eighth of the state's high school graduates, as well as those transfer students who have successfully completed specified college course work, be eligible for admission to the UC. These requirements are designed to ensure that all eligible students are adequately prepared for University-level work.

For general admissions requirements, please visit the UC Admissions Information Web page at http://www.universityofcalifornia.edu/admissions/.

#### **California State University**

Eligibility for admission to the California State University (CSU) is determined by three factors:

- Specific high school courses
- Grades in specified courses and test scores
- Graduation from high school

Some campuses have higher standards for particular majors or students who live outside the local campus area. Because of the number of students who apply, a few campuses have higher standards (supplementary admission criteria) for all applicants. Most CSU campuses have local admission guarantee policies for students who graduate or transfer from high schools and colleges that are historically served by a CSU campus in that region. For admission, application, and fee information see the CSU Web page at http://www.calstate.edu/admission/admission.shtml.

#### School District State Indicator 2010-11 2011-12 2010-11 2011-12 2009-10 2009-10 2011-12 2009-10 2010-11 **Dropout Rate** 11.90 19.50 17.00 10.20 13.10 12.10 16.60 14.70 13.10 87.42 75.32 88.68 82.11 82.46 80.53 77.14 **Graduation Rate** 79.68 78.73

#### **Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate**

#### **Completion of High School Graduation Requirements**

This table displays, by student group, the number of students who were a part of the school's most recent graduating class for which CDE has available data and meet all state and local graduation requirements for grade twelve completion, including having passed both the ELA and mathematics portions of the CAHSEE or received a local waiver or state exemption.

Ground		Graduating Class of 2012		
Group	School	District	State	
All Students	618	4,553	418,598	
Black or African American	7	140	28,078	
American Indian or Alaska Native		3	3,123	
Asian	9	674	41,700	
Filipino	5	201	12,745	
Hispanic or Latino	564	2646	193,516	
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	3	49	2,585	
White	26	707	127,801	
Two or More Races	4	132	6,790	
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	520	2783	217,915	
English Learners	336	1556	93,297	
Students with Disabilities	40	325	31,683	

#### Career Technical Education Programs (School Year 2012-13)

This section provides information about Career Technical Education (CTE) programs including:

- Programs and classes offered that are specifically focused on career preparation and or preparation for work
- How these programs and classes are integrated with academic courses and how they support academic achievement
- How the school addresses the needs of all students in career preparation and/or preparation for work, including needs unique to defined special populations of students
- The measurable outcomes of these programs and classes, and how they are evaluated
- State the primary representative of the district's CTE advisory committee and the industries represented on the committee

Anaheim High School has 12 career pathways that represent several industries that suit the needs, interests, and demands of its diverse student body. During the 2012-13 school year courses were offered in the following career industries: Arts, Media & Entertainment; Building Trades & Construction; Education, Child Development, and Family Services; Engineering and Design; Business & Finance; Health Science & Medical Technology; Information Technology; Manufacturing & Product Develop, Public Services; and Transportation.

#### **Career Technical Education Participation (School Year 2012-13)**

Measure	CTE Program Participation
Number of pupils participating in CTE	1226
% of pupils completing a CTE program and earning a high school diploma	91%
% of CTE courses sequenced/articulated between the school/institutions of postsecondary education	100%

#### Courses for University of California and/or California State University Admission

UC/CSU Course Measure	Percent
2012-13 Students Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	72.2
2011-12 Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	25.4

#### Advanced Placement Courses (School Year 2011–12)

Subject	Number of AP Courses Offered*	Percent of Students In AP Courses
Computer Science		
English	3	
Fine and Performing Arts		
Foreign Language	6	
Mathematics	4	
Science	4	
Social Science	4	
All courses	21	3.3

\* Where there are student course enrollments.

## XII. Instructional Planning and Scheduling

#### **Professional Development**

This section provides information on the number of days provided for professional development and continuous professional growth in the most recent three year period. Questions that may be answered include:

- What are the primary/major areas of focus for staff development and specifically how were they selected? For example, were student achievement data used to determine the need for professional development in reading instruction?
- What are the methods by which professional development is delivered (e.g., after school workshops, conference attendance, individual mentoring, etc.)?
- How are teachers supported during implementation (e.g., through in-class coaching, teacher-principal meetings, student performance, and data reporting, etc.)?

Teachers participate in a variety of District in-services as well as professional development workshops and conferences to enhance their content knowledge and instructional skills. New teachers are also required to participate in the Beginning Teacher Support and Assessment (BTSA) program. The District and school continue to train teachers in strategies to deliver a differentiated curriculum with depth and complexity. Teachers learn to utilize students' assessment results in order to target instruction to better meet the individual needs of students. Classified staff members have many opportunities to participate in training designed to enhance their effectiveness with students. All District staff members are supported in their efforts to deliver highly-effective lessons. Quality Education Investment Act funding has given teachers and administrators many opportunities to examine current instructional programs and strategies and realign with state curriculum performance and content standards. Research based instructional strategies are reviewed and implemented.

In addition to what the district provides, Anaheim High School has weekly professional development opportunities on Tuesday late start days. The time is utilized by departments, specialized programs, and cross-curricular articulation. All educational staff is involved in a variety of workshops and conferences and averaged more than fifty hours of PD last school year.